arXiv:solv-int/9809010v2 19 Nov 1998

Symmetries and exact solutions of some integrable Haldane-Shastry like spin chains

B. Basu-Mallick*

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo,
Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

Abstract

By using a class of 'anyon like' representations of permutation algebra, which pick up nontrivial phase factors while interchanging the spins of two lattice sites, we construct some integrable variants of $SU(M)$ Haldane-Shastry (HS) spin chain. Lax pairs and conserved quantities for these spin chains are also found and it is established that these models exhibit multi-parameter deformed or nonstandard variants of $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian symmetry. Moreover, by projecting the eigenstates of Dunkl operators in a suitable way, we derive a class of exact eigenfunctions for such HS like spin chain and subsequently conjecture that these exact eigenfunctions would lead to the highest weight states associated with a multi-parameter deformed or nonstandard variant of $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra. By using this conjecture, and acting descendent operator on the highest weight states associated with a nonstandard $Y(gl_2)$ Yangian algebra, we are able to find out the complete set of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for the related HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain. It turns out that some additional energy levels, which are forbidden due to a selection rule in the case of $SU(2)$ HS model, interestingly appear in the spectrum of above mentioned HS like spin chain having nonstandard $Y(gl_2)$ Yangian symmetry.

---

* E-mail address: biru@monet.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

1 Introduction

As is well known, one dimensional integrable models with long ranged interactions have recently found wide range of applications in diverse subjects like fractional statistics, random matrix theory, level statistics for disordered systems, quantum Hall effect, Yangian algebra, etc. [1-23]. In particular, the $SU(2)$ Haldane-Shastry (HS) spin chain [3,4], along with its $SU(M)$ as well as supersymmetric generalisations [15-18] and its deformation through twisted boundary condition [19], provides us interesting examples of exactly solvable models which share many properties of an ideal gas, but with fractional statistics. Furthermore, it is found that the conserved quantities of $SU(M)$ HS spin chain, represented by the Hamiltonian

$$H_{HS} \ = \ \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq N} \frac{1}{2\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{N}(i-j)} \left(P_{ij}-1\right) \, , \tag{1.1}$$

where P_{ij} is the permutation operator interchanging the 'spins' (taking M possible values) of i-th and j-th lattice sites, lead to a realisation of $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra [10]. Such $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian symmetry of HS spin chain (1.1) can be revealed in an elegant way by treating it as the 'static limit' of dynamical spin Calogero-Sutherland (CS) model with Hamiltonian given by

$$H_{CS} \ = \ -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{L^2} \sum_{i<j} \frac{\beta(\beta+P_{ij})}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{L}(x_i-x_j)} \, , \tag{1.2}$$

where β is a coupling constant [11]. Moreover, by exploiting the above mentioned connection between HS spin chain and dynamical spin CS model, it is also possible to construct a class of exact eigenfunctions for the spin model (1.1). It turns out that this class of exact eigenfunctions leads to all highest weight (HW) states of $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra, which appear in the reducible Hilbert space of HS spin chain. Consequently, by applying Yangian descendent operators on such HW states, one can obtain the complete set of eigenfunctions for the $SU(M)$ HS spin chain.

However, it is found recently that the permutation algebra given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{ij}^2 \ = \ 1, \quad \mathcal{P}_{ij}\mathcal{P}_{jl} \ = \ \mathcal{P}_{il}\mathcal{P}_{ij} \ = \ \mathcal{P}_{jl}\mathcal{P}_{il} \, , \quad [\mathcal{P}_{ij}, \mathcal{P}_{lm}] \ = \ 0 \, , \tag{1.3}$$

($i,\ j,\ l,\ m$ being all different indices), admits a class of 'anyon like' representations ($\tilde{P}_{ij}$) which depend on some discrete as well as continuous parameters and act on the spin space associated with CS model as [24]

$$\tilde{P}_{ij}\,|\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_i\cdots\alpha_j\cdots\alpha_N\rangle \;=\; e^{i\Phi(\alpha_i,\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_j)}\,|\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_j\cdots\alpha_i\cdots\alpha_N\rangle\,, \qquad (1.4)$$

where $\alpha_i \in [1,2,\cdots,M]$ and the real phase factor $\Phi(\alpha_i,\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_j)$ is defined in the following way. Suppose, $\phi_{\sigma\sigma}$s ($\sigma \in [1,2,\cdots,M]$) are some discrete parameters each of which may be chosen as 0 or π, and $\phi_{\gamma\sigma}$s ($\gamma \neq \sigma$) are continuous parameters which may take any real value satisfying the antisymmetry property: $\phi_{\gamma\sigma} = -\phi_{\sigma\gamma}$. As a function of these M number of discrete parameters and $M(M-1)/2$ number of independent continuous parameters, the phase factor appearing in eqn.(1.4) is defined as

$$\Phi(\alpha_i,\alpha_{i+1},\cdots,\alpha_j) \;=\; \phi_{\alpha_i\alpha_j} \;+\; \sum_{\tau=1}^{M} n_\tau\,\left(\phi_{\tau\alpha_j} - \phi_{\tau\alpha_i}\right) \qquad (1.5)$$

where n_τ ($= \sum_{p=i+1}^{j-1}\delta_{\tau\alpha_p}$) denotes the number of occurrence of a particular spin orientation τ in the configuration $|\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_i\cdots\alpha_p\cdots\alpha_j\cdots\alpha_N\rangle$, when the index p in α_p runs from $i+1$ to $j-1$. It is obvious that, the phase factor (1.5) becomes trivial for the simplest choice of discrete and continuous parameters given by: $\phi_{\gamma\gamma} \;=\; \phi_{\gamma\sigma} \;=\; 0$ for all σ, γ. Therefore, at this limiting case, $\tilde{P}_{ij}$ (1.4) would reproduce the standard representation of permutation algebra (P_{ij}) which appears in the Hamiltonians (1.1) and (1.2). However it is evident that, for all nontrivial choices of the parameters $\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ and $\phi_{\gamma\sigma}$, $\tilde{P}_{ij}$ (1.4) would pick up nonvanishing phase factors (1.5) which depend on the spin configuration of $(j-i+1)$ number of particles. By using such 'anyon like' representations of permutation algebra, one can construct integrable variants of spin CS Hamiltonian (1.2) as

$$\mathcal{H}_{CS} \;=\; -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\,\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 \;+\frac{\pi^2}{L^2}\,\sum_{i<j}\,\frac{\beta(\beta+\tilde{P}_{ij})}{\sin^2\frac{\pi}{L}(x_i-x_j)}\;, \qquad (1.6)$$

and demonstrate that this class of Hamiltonians can be solved exactly by taking appropriate projections of the known eigenfunctions of Dunkl operators [24]. Interestingly, it is found that the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of some spin CS Hamiltonians, belonging to class (1.6), differ considerably from those of the standard spin CS model (1.2). It is also revealed that, the symmetry of Hamiltonian (1.6) depends crucially on the discrete parameters which appear in $\tilde{P}_{ij}$ (1.4), and may be given by an extended (i.e., multi-parameter deformed or nonstandard variant of) $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra [25]. Moreover it turns out that the quantum R matrices, which generate the extended $Y(gl_M)$ algebras, coincide with the rational limit of some trigonometric R matrices appearing in the algebraic Bethe ansatz of asymmetric six vertex model [26,27], its various generalisations [28] and Heisenberg spin chain with Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya interaction [29].

In analogy with the case of dynamical CS models (1.6), we may now consider a novel class of HS like spin chains with the general form of Hamiltonian given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{HS} \; = \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq N} \frac{1}{2\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{N}(i-j)} \left(\tilde{P}_{ij} - 1\right) , \qquad (1.7)$$

where $\tilde{P}_{ij}$ is an 'anyon like' representation (1.4) of permutation algebra. For the limiting case $\tilde{P}_{ij} = P_{ij}$, $\mathcal{H}_{HS}$ (1.7) evidently reproduces the $SU(M)$ HS spin chain (1.1) which respects the $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian symmetry. So, it is natural to enquire whether the spin model (1.7) would also respect some Yangian like symmetry for all nontrivial choices of continuous and discrete parameters in corresponding $\tilde{P}_{ij}$. Furthermore, it should be interesting to investigate the nature of energy spectrum and eigenfunctions for the spin chain (1.7). The aim of the present article is to shed some light on the above mentioned issues and also reveal an intriguing connection between some spin chains belonging to class (1.7) and supersymmetric versions of HS spin chain. For this purpose, in sec.2, we start with the Lax equations for spin chain (1.7) and use these Lax equations to derive the related conserved quantities. Next, in sec.3, we demonstrate that the conserved quantities of spin chain (1.7) yield a realisation of extended $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra. Thus it is established that, similar to case of dynamical CS model (1.6), the HS like spin chain (1.7) also respects an extended $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian symmetry. Furthermore, by projecting the known eigenstates of Dunkl operators in a suitable way, in sec.4 we derive a class of exact eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for the Hamiltonian (1.7). Subsequently, we conjecture that the above mentioned class of exact eigenfunctions would lead to all HW states of extended $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra, which appear in the reducible Hilbert space of HS like spin chain. So, by applying appropriate descendent operators on such HW states one should be able to generate the complete set of eigenfunctions for the Hamiltonian (1.7). In sec.5, we attempt to verify this consequence of our conjecture by applying a descendent operator on the HW states associated with a nonstandard $Y(gl_2)$ Yangian algebra. In this way, we are able to find out the full spectrum and complete set of energy eigenfunctions for the related HS like spin chain with nonstandard $Y(gl_2)$ Yangian symmetry. In sec.5, we also establish a relation between some spin chains, belonging to class (1.7), and supersymmetric versions of HS spin chain. Sec.6 is the concluding section.

2 Conserved quantities of HS like spin chains

In this section our aim is to find out the conserved quantities associated with HS like spin chain (1.7), for all possible choice of corresponding $\tilde{P}_{ij}$. For this purpose, it is convenient to briefly recall the procedure [11,18] of deriving the conserved quantities of $SU(M)$ HS spin chain (1.1) through the corresponding Lax pair. The operator valued elements of such Lax pair (L_{HS} and M_{HS}) are given by

$$(L_{HS})_{ij} = (1-\delta_{ij})\,\theta'_{ij}P_{ij}\,, \quad (M_{HS})_{ij} = -2\delta_{ij}\sum_{k\neq i} h'_{ik}P_{ik} + 2\,(1-\delta_{ij})\,h'_{ij}P_{ij}\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\theta'_{kj} = \frac{\omega^k}{\omega^k-\omega^j}$, $h'_{kj} = \theta'_{kj}\theta'_{jk}$ and $\omega = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{N}}$. It is easy to check that these operator valued elements and Hamiltonian (1.1) satisfy the following Lax equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \Big[H_{HS}\,,\,(L_{HS})_{ij}\Big] &= \textstyle\sum_{k=1}^N \Big\{(L_{HS})_{ik}(M_{HS})_{kj} - (M_{HS})_{ik}(L_{HS})_{kj}\Big\}\,, && (2.2a)\\ \Big[H_{HS}\,,\,X_j^{\alpha\beta}\Big] &= \textstyle\sum_{k=1}^N X_k^{\alpha\beta}\,(M_{HS})_{kj}\,, \quad \sum_{k=1}^N (M_{HS})_{jk} = 0\,, && (2.2b,c)\end{aligned}$$

where $X_i^{\alpha\beta}$ acts like $|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|$ on the spin space associated with i-th lattice site and leaves the spin spaces associated with all other sites untouched. The Lax equation (2.2c) is also called as 'sum-to-zero' condition [8,9] for the elements of M_{HS} matrix. By using eqns.(2.2a-c), one can verify that the operators given by

$$T_n^{\alpha\beta} \;=\; \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} X_i^{\alpha\beta} \, (L_{HS}^n)_{ij} \; , \tag{2.3}$$

where $n \in [0, 1, \cdots, \infty]$ and $\alpha, \, \beta \, \in [1, \cdots, M]$ would represent the conserved quantities of Hamiltonian (1.1).

Now, in close analogy with the previous case, we propose that the elements of Lax pair ($\mathcal{L}_{HS}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{HS}$) associated with the HS like spin chain (1.7) may be written as

$$(\mathcal{L}_{HS})_{ij} = (1-\delta_{ij})\,\theta'_{ij}\tilde{P}_{ij} \, , \quad (\mathcal{M}_{HS})_{ij} = -2\delta_{ij}\sum_{k\neq i} h'_{ik}\tilde{P}_{ik} + 2\,(1-\delta_{ij})\,h'_{ij}\tilde{P}_{ij} \, , \tag{2.4a, b}$$

where $\tilde{P}_{ij}$ $(= \, \tilde{P}_{ji})$ is given through eqn.(1.4). By using the permutation algebra (1.3), it is easy to check that the Hamiltonian (1.7) and elements (2.4a,b) would satisfy an equation analogous to (2.2a):

$$\Big[\, \mathcal{H}_{HS} \, , \, (\mathcal{L}_{HS})_{ij} \,\Big] \;=\; \sum_{k=1}^{N} \Big\{ \, (\mathcal{L}_{HS})_{ik} \, (\mathcal{M}_{HS})_{kj} \, - \, (\mathcal{M}_{HS})_{ik} \, (\mathcal{L}_{HS})_{kj} \Big\} \, . \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover, it is obvious that the elements of $\mathcal{M}_{HS}$ (2.4b) also respect the ‘sum-to-zero’ condition: $\sum_{k=1}^{N} (\mathcal{M}_{HS})_{jk} = 0$.

However, one may curiously observe that an equation of the form: $\Big[\mathcal{H}_{HS}, X_j^{\alpha\beta}\Big] = \sum_{k=1}^{N} X_k^{\alpha\beta} \, (\mathcal{M}_{HS})_{kj}$, is no longer satisfied except for the limiting case $\tilde{P}_{ij} = P_{ij}$. So, it is necessary to find out an appropriate generalisation of operator $X_i^{\alpha\beta}$ which would satisfy a Lax equation analogous to (2.2b). To this end, we notice that the ‘anyon like’ representation (1.4) can also be expressed through the operator relation

$$\tilde{P}_{i,i+1} = Q_{i,i+1}P_{i,i+1}, \;\; \tilde{P}_{il} = S_{il}\tilde{P}_{l-1,l}S_{il}^{-1} = \left(Q_{i,i+1}Q_{i,i+2}\cdots Q_{il}\right)P_{il}\left(Q_{l-1,i}Q_{l-2,i}\cdots Q_{i+1,i}\right), \tag{2.6}$$

where $l > i+1$, $S_{il} = \tilde{P}_{i,i+1}\tilde{P}_{i+1,i+2}\cdots\tilde{P}_{l-2,l-1}$ and Q_{ik}, which acts like a matrix Q on the direct product of i-th and k-th spin spaces (but acts trivially on all other spin spaces), is given by

$$Q_{ik} \;=\; \sum_{\sigma=1}^{M} e^{i\phi_{\sigma\sigma}} \, X_i^{\sigma\sigma} \otimes X_k^{\sigma\sigma} \;+\; \sum_{\sigma\neq\gamma} e^{i\phi_{\gamma\sigma}} \, X_i^{\sigma\sigma} \otimes X_k^{\gamma\gamma} \, . \tag{2.7}$$

It should be noted that the above Q matrix contains all continuous as well as discrete parameters which are present in eqn.(1.4). So there exists a unique correspondence between this Q matrix and ‘anyon like’ representation (1.4) of permutation algebra. At the limiting case $Q_{ik} = \mathbb{1}$, which is obtained from eqn.(2.7) by choosing $\phi_{\gamma\gamma} = \phi_{\gamma\sigma} = 0$ for all σ, γ, $\tilde{P}_{ij}$ (2.6) evidently reproduces the standard representation P_{ij}. Moreover it can be checked that, for any possible choice of related continuous and discrete parameters, the Q matrix (2.7) satisfies the following two equations:

$$Q_{ik}\, Q_{il}\, Q_{kl} \ = \ Q_{kl}\, Q_{il}\, Q_{ik} \, , \qquad Q_{ik}\, Q_{ki} \ = \ \mathbb{1} \, . \tag{2.8}$$

With the help of these two equations, one can interestingly prove that $\tilde{P}_{ij}$ (2.6) indeed gives a valid representation of permutation algebra (1.3).

By using the Q matrix (2.7), we may now define a set of nonlocal operators as

$$\tilde{X}_i^{\alpha\beta} \ = \ Q_{i,i+1} Q_{i,i+2} \cdots Q_{iN}\, X_i^{\alpha\beta}\, Q_{i1} Q_{i2} \cdots Q_{i,i-1} \, , \tag{2.9}$$

which would reduce to $X_i^{\alpha\beta}$ at the limiting case $Q = \mathbb{1}$ and, therefore, might be considered as some generalisation of $X_i^{\alpha\beta}$. With the help of eqn.(2.8), it can be shown that $\tilde{X}_i^{\alpha\beta}$ (2.9) and $\tilde{P}_{ij}$ (2.6) obey the following simple relation:

$$\tilde{P}_{ij} \tilde{X}_i^{\alpha\beta} \ = \ \tilde{X}_j^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{P}_{ij} \, . \tag{2.10}$$

Furthermore, by applying the above relation, it is easy to verify that the Hamiltonian (1.7), $\tilde{X}_i^{\alpha\beta}$ (2.9) and $(\mathcal{M}_{HS})_{ij}$ (2.4b) satisfy an equation given by

$$\left[\mathcal{H}_{HS} \, , \, \tilde{X}_j^{\alpha\beta} \right] \ = \ \sum_{k=1}^{N} \tilde{X}_k^{\alpha\beta} \, (\mathcal{M}_{HS})_{kj} \, . \tag{2.11}$$

So we curiously find that, through the introduction of nonlocal operator $\tilde{X}_i^{\alpha\beta}$, it is possible to obtain the above Lax equation which is an analogue of (2.2b) for the present case. Now, by applying Lax equations (2.5) and (2.11), and also using ‘sum-to-zero’ condition satisfied by the elements of $\mathcal{M}_{HS}$ (2.4b), one can verify that the operators given by

$$\mathcal{T}_n^{\alpha\beta} \ = \ \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \tilde{X}_i^{\alpha\beta} \, (\mathcal{L}_{HS}^n)_{ij} \, , \tag{2.12}$$

where $n \in [0, 1, \cdots, \infty]$ and α, $\beta \in [1, \cdots, M]$, would commute with the Hamiltonian (1.7) of HS like spin chain. At the limiting case $Q = \mathbb{1}$, $\mathcal{T}_n^{\alpha\beta}$ (2.12) evidently reproduces the local conserved quantities (2.3) of $SU(M)$ HS spin chain (1.1).

Thus, we are able to derive here the Lax equations and conserved quantities associated with spin chains belonging to the class (1.7). The Q matrix (2.7) and related nonlocal operators $\tilde{X}_i^{\alpha\beta}$ (2.9) play a crucial role in our derivation. In the next section, our aim is to find out the symmetry algebra generated by the conserved quantities (2.12) and establish their connection with the Yang-Baxter equation.

3 Symmetries of HS like spin chains

It is already mentioned that the symmetry of $SU(M)$ HS spin chain is given by the $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra. This $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra [30,31] may be defined through the operator valued elements of an $M \times M$ dimensional monodromy matrix $T^0(u)$, which obeys the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (QYBE)

$$R_{00'}(u-v)\left(T^0(u)\otimes\mathbb{1}\right)\left(\mathbb{1}\otimes T^{0'}(v)\right) \;=\; \left(\mathbb{1}\otimes T^{0'}(v)\right)\left(T^0(u)\otimes\mathbb{1}\right)R_{00'}(u-v)\,. \tag{3.1}$$

Here u and v are spectral parameters and the $M^2 \times M^2$ dimensional rational $R(u-v)$ matrix, having c-number valued elements, is taken as

$$R_{00'}(u-v) \;=\; (u-v)\,\mathbb{1} + P_{00'}\,. \tag{3.2}$$

Associativity of $Y(gl_M)$ algebra is ensured from the fact that the above R matrix satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation (YBE) given by

$$R_{00'}(u-v)\,R_{00''}(u-w)\,R_{0'0''}(v-w) \;=\; R_{0'0''}(v-w)\,R_{00''}(u-w)\,R_{00'}(u-v)\,, \tag{3.3}$$

where a matrix like $R_{ab}(u-v)$ acts nontrivially only on the a-th and b-th vector spaces. The conserved quantities (2.3) of $SU(M)$ HS spin chain yield a realisation of the above mentioned monodromy matrix which generates the $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra.

Now, for finding out the symmetry algebra associated with conserved quantities (2.12), we consider a rational R matrix of the form

$$R_{00'}(u-v) \;=\; (u-v)\, Q_{00'} \,+\, P_{00'}\,, \tag{3.4a}$$

where $Q_{00'}$ acts exactly like Q_{ik} (2.7) on the direct product of 0-th and $0'$-th auxiliary spaces:

$$Q_{00'} \;=\; \sum_{\sigma=1}^{M} e^{i\phi_{\sigma\sigma}}\, X_0^{\sigma\sigma} \otimes X_{0'}^{\sigma\sigma} \;+\; \sum_{\sigma\neq\gamma} e^{i\phi_{\gamma\sigma}}\, X_0^{\sigma\sigma} \otimes X_{0'}^{\gamma\gamma}\,. \tag{3.4b}$$

It is interesting to notice that, the above defined R matrix (3.4a) coincides with the rational limit of a trigonometric solution of YBE related to some asymmetric vertex models [28]. Moreover, by using the conditions (2.8) satisfied by Q matrix, it is easy to directly check that the R matrix (3.4a) would be a valid solution of YBE (3.3). At the limiting case $Q_{00'} = \mathbb{1}$, the rational solution (3.4a) obviously reduces to R matrix (3.2) which leads to $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra through QYBE. So it is clear that by substituting the R matrix (3.4a) to QYBE (3.1), and expressing this QYBE through the elements of related monodromy matrix, one can generate an associative Yangian like algebra. All discrete and continuous parameters, which are present in the Q matrix (3.4b), would naturally appear in the defining relations of above mentioned 'extended' $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra. Depending on the choices of such discrete parameters ($\phi_{\sigma\sigma}$), one can also make a rather useful classification of corresponding extended Yangian algebras. For this purpose it may be noted that, if the discrete parameters are chosen as $\phi_{\sigma\sigma} = 0$ (or, $\phi_{\sigma\sigma} = \pi$) for all σ, the Q matrix (3.4b) can be rewritten in the form

$$Q_{00'} \;=\; \epsilon\, \mathbb{1} \,+\, \sum_{\sigma\neq\gamma} \left(e^{i\phi_{\gamma\sigma}} - \epsilon \right) X_0^{\sigma\sigma} \otimes X_{0'}^{\gamma\gamma}\,, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\epsilon = 1$ (or, $\epsilon = -1$). Evidently the extended Yangian algebra, generated by the Q matrix (3.5) or corresponding R matrix (3.4a), would reduce to the standard $Y(gl_M)$ algebra at the limit $\phi_{\gamma\sigma} \rightarrow 0$ (or, $\phi_{\gamma\sigma} \rightarrow \pi$) of all continuous parameters. So, it is natural to classify these extended algebras as 'multi-parameter dependent deformations' of $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra. A few concrete examples of this type of multi-parameter deformed Yangian algebras have already appeared in the literature [32-34] and it is recently found that an integrable extension of Hubbard model respects such deformed Yangian symmetry [35]. However it may be easily checked that, if all discrete parameters appearing in the Q matrix (3.4b) do not take the same value, the corresponding extended Yangian algebras would not reduce to standard $Y(gl_M)$ algebra at any limit of the related continuous parameters. So we may classify such extended algebras as 'nonstandard' variants of $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra.

At present, we want to show that the symmetry of HS like spin chain (1.7) would be given by the extended $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra associated with R matrix (3.4a). To this end, we start with the Hilbert space (S) of HS like spin chain (1.7) where a general state vector is written as

$$|\Psi\rangle \; = \; \sum_{\{\alpha_i\}} \, \Psi_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_N} \, |\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_N\rangle \, , \tag{3.6}$$

and, by following Ref.11, define another space Γ where a general state vector is given by

$$|\chi\rangle \; = \; \sum_{\{\alpha_i\},\{n_i\}} \, \chi^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_N}_{n_1n_2\cdots n_N} \, |\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_N\rangle \otimes \, |n_1n_2\cdots n_N\rangle \tag{3.7}$$

with $\alpha_i \in [1,2,\cdots,M]$ and $n_1\, n_2\, \cdots\, n_N$ being a permutation of $1\,2\,\cdots\,N$. So, the space Γ is obtained by enlarging the Hilbert space S through some discrete coordinate (n_i) degrees of freedom. One may now define some operators which act nontrivially only on the coordinate part of state vectors associated with space Γ:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{z}_i \, |n_1n_2\cdots n_i\cdots n_N\rangle \; &= \; \omega^{n_i} \, |n_1n_2\cdots n_i\cdots n_N\rangle \, , \\ K_{ij} \, |n_1n_2\cdots n_i\cdots n_j\cdots n_N\rangle \; &= \; |n_1n_2\cdots n_j\cdots n_i\cdots n_N\rangle \, , \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $\omega = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{N}}$. It is easy to check that the above defined operators satisfy the algebraic relations given by

$$\begin{gathered} K_{ij}\hat{z}_i \; = \; \hat{z}_j K_{ij} \, , \quad K_{ij}\hat{z}_l \; = \; \hat{z}_l K_{ij} \, , \\ K_{ij}^2 \; = \; 1\!\!1 \, , \quad K_{ij}K_{jl} \; = \; K_{il}K_{ij} \; = \; K_{jl}K_{il} \, , \quad [\, K_{ij}, K_{lm} \,] \; = \; 0 \, , \end{gathered} \tag{3.9}$$

$i,\; j,\; l,\; m$ being all different indices. With the help of these $\hat{z}_i,\; K_{ij}$, one can define Dunkl operators of the form

$$D_i \; = \; \sum_{j\neq i} \hat{\theta}_{ij} \, K_{ij} \;\; , \tag{3.10}$$

where $\hat{\theta}_{ij} = \frac{\hat{z}_i}{\hat{z}_i - \hat{z}_j}$ and show that such Dunkl operators satisfy the commutation relations given by

$$K_{ij} D_i \ = \ D_j K_{ij} \, , \quad [\, K_{ij}, D_k \,] \ = \ 0 \, , \quad [\, D_i, D_j \,] \ = \ (D_i - D_j) \, K_{ij} \, , \tag{3.11}$$

where $k \neq i, j$. These commutation relations will be used shortly for finding out a concrete realisation of extended $Y(gl_M)$ algebra.

Next, we consider all state vectors of Γ which satisfy the following relation:

$$\left(K_{ij} - \tilde{P}_{ij} \right) |\chi\rangle \ = \ 0 \, , \tag{3.12}$$

where the action of $\tilde{P}_{ij}$ is defined by eqn.(1.4). These state vectors would form a subspace of Γ, which we denote by Γ_B^*. By using eqns.(3.12) and (3.7), it is easy to verify that coefficients of $|\chi\rangle$ now satisfy an 'anyonic' symmetry condition:

$$\chi^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_i \cdots \alpha_j \cdots \alpha_N}_{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_i \cdots n_j \cdots n_N} \ = \ e^{-i \Phi(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \cdots, \alpha_j)} \, \chi^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_j \cdots \alpha_i \cdots \alpha_N}_{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_j \cdots n_i \cdots n_N} \, , \tag{3.13}$$

where $\Phi \left(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \cdots, \alpha_j \right)$ is given by (1.5). Due to the existence of above symmetry condition, only the knowledge of coefficients like $\chi^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_N}_{12 \cdots \cdots N}$ is sufficient to construct any $|\chi\rangle$ belonging to space Γ_B^*. Consequently, it is possible to establish an isomorphism between the spaces Γ_B^* and S through the one-to-one mapping: $\pi^* |\chi\rangle \ = \ |\Psi\rangle$, where the coefficients of $|\Psi\rangle$ and $|\chi\rangle$ are related as

$$\Psi_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_N} \ = \ \chi^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_N}_{12 \cdots \cdots N} \, . \tag{3.14}$$

It is clear from eqn.(3.13) that, at the limiting case $\Phi = 0$, Γ_B^* would represent a bosonic space (Γ_B) where the wave functions remain invariant under the simultaneous interchange of spin and coordinate degrees of freedom. Thus, at this limiting case, π^* would reproduce a mapping π which was used earlier [11] for defining an isomorphism between the spaces Γ_B and S.

Now, by using the Dunkl operators (3.10) and Q matrix (3.4b), we propose the following monodromy matrix whose elements are some operators on space Γ_B^*:

$$\hat{T}^0(u) \ = \ \Omega_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{0i}}{u - D_i} \, , \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$\Omega_0 \;=\; Q_{01}Q_{02}\cdots Q_{0N}\,,\;\;\; \mathbb{P}_{0i} \;=\; \left(Q_{01}Q_{02}\cdots Q_{0,i-1}\right)\,P_{0i}\,\left(Q_{0,i+1}Q_{0,i+2}\cdots Q_{0N}\right)\,. \quad (3.16a,b)$$

With the help of eqns.(2.8) and (2.6), it may be checked that: $\left[\hat{T}^0(u)\,,\,K_{ij}\tilde{P}_{ij}\right] = 0$. Consequently, the monodromy matrix $\hat{T}^0(u)$ (3.15) preserves the 'anyonic' symmetry (3.13) of state vectors belonging to space Γ^*_B. So, by using the mapping π^* defined through eqn.(3.14), we may construct an image ($\pi^*[\hat{T}^0(u)]$) of the monodromy matrix (3.15) on space S as

$$T^0(u) \;\equiv\; \pi^*\left[\hat{T}^0(u)\right] \;=\; \pi^*\left(\Omega_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\mathbb{P}_{0i}}{u-D_i}\right)(\pi^*)^{-1}\,. \qquad (3.17)$$

It is worth noting that, at the limiting case $Q = \mathbb{1}$, eqn.(3.17) reduces to

$$T^0(u) \;=\; \pi\left(\mathbb{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{P_{0i}}{u-D_i}\right)\pi^{-1}\,,$$

which represents the monodromy matrix of $SU(M)$ HS spin chain (1.1) and yields a solution of QYBE (3.1) when the corresponding R matrix is taken as (3.2) [11]. Now, by essentially following the approach of Ref.11 and using the relations (3.11) as well as (2.8), one can interestingly show that the monodromy matrix (3.17) would also satisfy QYBE (3.1) when the corresponding R matrix is taken as (3.4a). Thus, it turns out that the monodromy matrix (3.17) yields a concrete realisation of extended $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra.

Next, we want to derive a few simple relations which are needed for establishing a connection between the conserved quantities (2.12) and our realisation (3.17) of extended Yangian algebra. First of all, by using the standard expression: $P_{0i} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{M} X_0^{\alpha\beta}\otimes X_i^{\beta\alpha}$ and conditions (2.8) on Q matrix, we find that the operator $\mathbb{P}_{0i}$ (3.16b) may be rewritten in a compact form given by

$$\mathbb{P}_{0i} \;=\; \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{M} X_0^{\alpha\beta}\otimes\tilde{X}_i^{\beta\alpha}\,. \qquad (3.18)$$

With the help of above expression and commutation relations (3.11), one can further show that

$$\pi^*\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N}\mathbb{P}_{0i}D_i^n\right] \;=\; \pi^*\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\mathbb{P}_{0i}D_i^n\right)(\pi^*)^{-1} \;=\; X_0^{\alpha\beta}\otimes\mathcal{T}_n^{\beta\alpha}\,, \qquad (3.19)$$

where $\mathcal{T}_n^{\beta\alpha}$s are the conserved quantities (2.12) of HS like spin chain. Finally, by using eqn.(3.19), we find that the monodromy matrix (3.17) can be expressed through its modes as

$$T^0(u) \; = \; \Omega_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^{n+1}} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{M} \left(X_0^{\alpha\beta} \otimes \mathcal{T}_n^{\beta\alpha} \right) \; . \tag{3.20}$$

One may curiously notice that, apart from the conserved quantities (2.12) which are derived from the Lax equations, the operator Ω_0 also appears in the mode expansion (3.20). But it seems that, by applying Lax equations ((2.5),(2.11)), it is not possible to determine whether this Ω_0 would also commute with the spin chain Hamiltonian (1.7). However, with the help of eqns.(3.16a), (2.6) and (2.8), one can directly show that

$$\left[\Omega_0 \, , \, \tilde{P}_{ij} \right] \; = \; \left[\Omega^{\alpha\alpha} \, , \, \tilde{P}_{ij} \right] \; = \; 0 \, , \tag{3.21}$$

where $\Omega^{\alpha\alpha}$s are operator valued elements of the diagonal matrix Ω_0: $\Omega_0 \; = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} X_0^{\alpha\alpha} \otimes \Omega^{\alpha\alpha}$. By using the relation (3.21), we may now easily verify that Ω_0 and $\Omega^{\alpha\alpha}$ indeed commute with the Hamiltonian (1.7). Thus we observe that, HS like spin chains apparently possess some additional conserved quantities ($\Omega^{\alpha\alpha}$) which become trivial at $Q = 1\!\!1$ limit, i.e., for the case of $SU(M)$ HS model.

From the above discussion it is revealed that, all modes of the monodromy matrix $T^0(u)$ (3.20) can be identified with various conserved quantities which commute with the Hamiltonian (1.7). Therefore, we may conclude that the HS like spin chain (1.7) respects an extended $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian symmetry. Furthermore, one can explicitly find out all algebraic relations among the conserved quantities of such spin chain, by simply expressing this extended $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra through the modes of corresponding monodromy matrix. To this end, we insert the mode expansion (3.20) as well as R matrix (3.4a) to QYBE (3.1), and, equating from its both sides the coefficients of same powers in $u, \; v$, easily obtain the following set of relations:

$$\left[\Omega^{\alpha\alpha} \, , \, \Omega^{\beta\beta} \right] \; = \; 0 \; , \qquad \Omega^{\alpha\alpha} \, \mathcal{T}_n^{\beta\gamma} \; = \; \tfrac{\rho_{\alpha\gamma}}{\rho_{\alpha\beta}} \, \mathcal{T}_n^{\beta\gamma} \Omega^{\alpha\alpha} \, , \tag{3.22a, b}$$

$$\rho_{\alpha\gamma} \, \mathcal{T}_0^{\alpha\beta} \, \mathcal{T}_n^{\gamma\delta} \; - \; \rho_{\beta\delta} \, \mathcal{T}_n^{\gamma\delta} \, \mathcal{T}_0^{\alpha\beta} \; = \; \delta_{\alpha\delta} \, \mathcal{T}_n^{\gamma\beta} \, \Omega^{\alpha\alpha} \; - \; \delta_{\gamma\beta} \, \Omega^{\gamma\gamma} \, \mathcal{T}_n^{\alpha\delta} \, , \tag{3.22c}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\rho_{\alpha\gamma} \, \mathcal{T}_{n+1}^{\alpha\beta} \, \mathcal{T}_m^{\gamma\delta} \; - \; \rho_{\beta\delta} \, \mathcal{T}_m^{\gamma\delta} \, \mathcal{T}_{n+1}^{\alpha\beta} \right] \; - \; \left[\rho_{\alpha\gamma} \, \mathcal{T}_n^{\alpha\beta} \, \mathcal{T}_{m+1}^{\gamma\delta} \; - \; \rho_{\beta\delta} \, \mathcal{T}_{m+1}^{\gamma\delta} \, \mathcal{T}_n^{\alpha\beta} \right] & \\ = \; \left(\mathcal{T}_m^{\gamma\beta} \, \mathcal{T}_n^{\alpha\delta} \; - \; \mathcal{T}_n^{\gamma\beta} \, \mathcal{T}_m^{\alpha\delta} \right) \, , & \end{aligned} \tag{3.22d}$$

where $\rho_{\alpha\gamma} = e^{i\phi_{\alpha\gamma}}$. Thus we are able to explicitly derive here the symmetry algebra associated with HS like spin chain (1.7). It may be noted that, at the special case $\rho_{\alpha\gamma} = 1$ and $\Omega^{\alpha\alpha} = \mathbb{1}$ for all $\alpha,\ \gamma$, the algebra (3.22) reduces to standard $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian and reproduces the commutation relations among the conserved quantities of $SU(M)$ HS spin chain.

4 A class of exact eigenfunctions for HS like spin chains

As was mentioned in sec.1, by exploiting a connection between spin chain (1.1) and dynamical CS model (1.2), one can construct a class of exact eigenfunctions for the $SU(M)$ HS spin chain [11]. In the following, our aim is to pursue a similar approach for the HS like spin chain (1.7) and construct its eigenfunctions which may be related to the HW states of extended $Y(gl_M)$ algebra. To this end, we consider again the spin space S where a general state vector is written in the form (3.6). Moreover, we fix our convention by saying that the i-th lattice site contain 'up' spin if $\alpha_i = 1$, and 'down' spin if $\alpha_i \neq 1$. Notice that any state vector of S, containing only m number of 'down' spins, may also be expressed in the form: $|\Psi_m\rangle = \sum_{\{\gamma_i\},\{n_i\}} \psi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m}_{n_1 n_2\cdots n_m} |\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m\rangle \otimes |n_1 n_2 \cdots n_m\rangle$, where n_i denotes the position of i-th 'down' spin ($1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_m \leq N$) and $\gamma_i \in [2, 3, \cdots, M]$ represents the precise orientation of this 'down' spin. Such m-magnon states will span a subspace of S, which we denote by S_m.

Next, we define another space $\mathcal{S}_m$, where the form of a general state vector is apparently same as the above described m-magnon state:

$$|\psi_m\rangle = \sum_{\{\gamma_i\},\{n_i\}} \psi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m}_{n_1 n_2\cdots n_m} |\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m\rangle \otimes |n_1 n_2 \cdots n_m\rangle \,. \tag{4.1}$$

However, at present, there exist no ordering among n_is which appear in $|n_1 n_2 \cdots n_m\rangle$, and these n_is may freely take all possible distinct values (i.e., $n_i \neq n_j$ when $i \neq j$) ranging from 1 to N. Moreover, the coefficients like $\psi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m}_{n_1 n_2\cdots n_m}$ are required to satisfy the following 'anyonic' symmetry condition:

$$\psi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_i\cdots\gamma_j\cdots\gamma_m}_{n_1n_2\cdots n_i\cdots n_j\cdots n_m} \; = \; e^{-i\Phi(\gamma_i,\gamma_{i+1},\cdots,\gamma_j)} \, \psi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_j\cdots\gamma_i\cdots\gamma_m}_{n_1n_2\cdots n_j\cdots n_i\cdots n_m} \, , \tag{4.2}$$

where $\Phi\left(\gamma_i, \gamma_{i+1}, \cdots, \gamma_j\right)$ is obtained from (1.5) by restricting $\alpha_i \in [2, 3, \cdots, M]$. So the condition $\left(K_{ij} - \tilde{P}_{ij}\right)|\psi_m\rangle = 0$ is satisfied for all state vectors of $\mathcal{S}_m$. It is clear from eqn.(4.2) that, only the knowledge of coefficients $\psi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m}_{n_1n_2\cdots n_m}$, with $1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_m \leq N$, is sufficient to construct the state vector $|\psi_m\rangle$ (4.1). Consequently, there exists an isomorphism between the spaces S_m and $\mathcal{S}_m$, and one might regard $\mathcal{S}_m$ itself as the m-magnon space. So, we may consider a mapping p_m, which projects the state vectors of S to the m-magnon space $\mathcal{S}_m$: $p_m|\Psi\rangle = |\psi_m\rangle$, where the coefficients of $|\psi_m\rangle$ are defined for the case $1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_m \leq N$ as

$$\psi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m}_{n_1n_2\cdots n_m} \; = \; \Psi_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_N} \, , \tag{4.3}$$

with $\alpha_{n_1} = \gamma_1, \; \alpha_{n_2} = \gamma_2, \cdots, \alpha_{n_m} = \gamma_m$ and $\alpha_i = 1$ if $i \neq n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_m$. The mapping p_m can also be used to project the Hamiltonian (1.7) of HS like spin chain on space $\mathcal{S}_m$. Since the Hamiltonian (1.7) preserves the m-magnon space, its projection is unambiguously defined through the relation:

$$p_m\left[\mathcal{H}_{HS}\right] \cdot p_m|\Psi\rangle \; = \; p_m\left(\, \mathcal{H}_{HS}|\Psi\rangle \,\right) \, , \tag{4.4}$$

where $|\Psi\rangle$ is an arbitrary state vector of space S. Thus, the problem of diagonalising Hamiltonian (1.7) now reduces to the problem of diagonalising $p_m\left[\mathcal{H}_{HS}\right]$ in all m-magnon sectors.

Though it is possible, in principle, to explicitly find out the matrix elements of $p_m\left[\mathcal{H}_{HS}\right]$ by directly using eqn.(4.4), we wish to apply an easier alternative method for this purpose. To this end, however, we find that it is convenient to fix a few continuous and discrete parameters appearing in the phase factor (1.5) as

$$\phi_{11} \; = \; \phi_{1\gamma} = \; \phi_{\gamma 1} \; = \; 0 \, , \tag{4.5}$$

where $\gamma \in [2, 3, \cdots M]$. The above choice of continuous and discrete parameters evidently gives a special status to the 'up' spin component and implies that the phase factor (1.5) will vanish while interchanging this 'up' spin with any spin component ('up' or 'down') sitting at the nearest lattice site. It should be noted that, even after fixing a few discrete and continuous parameters through eqn.(4.5), the phase factor (1.5) and related 'anyon like' representation (1.4) still contain $(M-1)$ number of free discrete parameters and $(M-1)(M-2)/2$ number of free continuous parameters. Our discussion in the following would be valid for all possible choices of these remaining discrete as well as continuous parameters in the Hamiltonian (1.7).

Next, we consider another mapping q_m which projects the state vectors of Γ_B^* to space $\mathcal{S}_m$: $q_m \, |\chi\rangle = |\psi_m\rangle$, where the coefficients of $|\psi_m\rangle$ (4.1) and $|\chi\rangle$ (3.7) are related as

$$\psi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m}_{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_m} = \chi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m \, 1\, 1 \cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots 1}_{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_m n_{m+1} n_{m+2} \cdots n_N} \, , \tag{4.6}$$

with $n_1 n_2 \cdots n_m n_{m+1} n_{m+2} \cdots n_N$ being a permutation of $1\,2\,\cdots\,N$. Due to eqns.(3.13) and (4.5), the coefficient $\chi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m \, 1\, 1 \cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots 1}_{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_m n_{m+1} n_{m+2} \cdots n_N}$ would remain invariant under the simultaneous interchange of any two 'up' spins and corresponding coordinate indices. So, for defining q_m, we may freely take any permutation of coordinate indices $n_{m+1} n_{m+2} \cdots n_N$ in the r.h.s. of eqn.(4.6). Again, the mapping q_m may also be used to project an operator (say, A) from space Γ_B^* to space $\mathcal{S}_m$. This projection is defined through the relation

$$q_m[A] \cdot q_m|\chi\rangle = q_m \left(A|\chi\rangle \right) \, , \tag{4.7}$$

where $|\chi\rangle$ is an arbitrary state vector of Γ_B^*.

By applying now the relations (3.14) and (4.6), we interestingly find that the composite mapping $q_m \cdot (\pi^*)^{-1}$ is exactly equivalent to the mapping p_m defined through eqn.(4.3). Thus one can evidently write

$$p_m \left[\mathcal{H}_{HS} \right] = q_m \left[(\pi^*)^{-1} \left[\mathcal{H}_{HS} \right] \right] = q_m \left[(\pi^*)^{-1} \, \mathcal{H}_{HS} \, \pi^* \right] \, , \tag{4.8}$$

and use the above relation, instead of (4.4), to obtain the action of $p_m \left[\mathcal{H}_{HS} \right]$ on the space $\mathcal{S}_m$. So, at first, we exploit the condition (3.12) which is obeyed by all state vectors of Γ_B^* and find that the image of Hamiltonian (1.7) under the mapping $(\pi^*)^{-1}$ is given by

$$(\pi^*)^{-1} \left[\mathcal{H}_{HS} \right] = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \hat{\theta}_{ij} \hat{\theta}_{ji} \left(1 - K_{ij} \right) \, . \tag{4.9}$$

It is curious to observe that, the r.h.s. of above equation does not explicitly depend on the continuous or discrete parameters which are present in the HS like Hamiltonian (1.7). Subsequently, we apply eqn.(4.7) for deriving the projection of operator $(\pi^*)^{-1}\left[\mathcal{H}_{HS}\right]$ (4.9) on space $\mathcal{S}_m$. Thus, we obtain the action of $p_m\left[\mathcal{H}_{HS}\right]$ on state vectors of $\mathcal{S}_m$ as

$$p_m\left[\mathcal{H}_{HS}\right]\,|\psi_m\rangle \;=\; \sum_{\{\gamma_i\},\{n_i\}} \bar{\psi}^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m}_{n_1n_2\cdots n_m}\,|\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m\rangle\otimes|n_1n_2\cdots n_m\rangle\,, \tag{4.10}$$

where $|\psi_m\rangle$ is given by (4.1) and

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\psi}^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m}_{n_1n_2\cdots n_m} \;=&\; 2\sum_{1\le i<j\le m}\left(\psi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_i\cdots\gamma_j\cdots\gamma_m}_{n_1n_2\cdots n_j\cdots n_i\cdots n_m} \;-\; \psi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m}_{n_1n_2\cdots n_m}\right)\theta'_{n_in_j}\theta'_{n_jn_i}\\ &+\; 2\sum_{1\le r\le m}\;\sum_{m+1\le t\le N}\left(\psi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_{r-1}\gamma_r\gamma_{r+1}\cdots\gamma_m}_{n_1n_2\cdots n_{r-1}n_tn_{r+1}\cdots n_m} \;-\; \psi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m}_{n_1n_2\cdots n_m}\right)\theta'_{n_rn_t}\theta'_{n_tn_r}\,,\end{aligned}$$

with $\theta'_{kj}=\frac{\omega^k}{\omega^k-\omega^j}$ and $n_1n_2\cdots n_mn_{m+1}n_{m+2}\cdots n_N$ being a permutation of $1\,2\,\cdots\,N$.

It is clear that, by simultaneously using eqn.(4.10) and symmetry condition (4.2), one can in principle diagonalise the operator $p_m\left[\mathcal{H}_{HS}\right]$ on space $\mathcal{S}_m$. However it should be noted that, in contrast to the case of eqn.(4.2), the r.h.s. of eqn.(4.10) does not explicitly depend on the continuous or discrete parameters which are present in the Hamiltonian (1.7). At present, we want to exploit this striking property of eqn.(4.10) and apply a method due to Ref.11 for the purpose of rewriting this equation through the Dunkl operators associated with dynamical spin CS model. The known eigenfunctions of such Dunkl operators may finally be used to construct a class of exact eigenfunctions of $p_m\left[\mathcal{H}_{HS}\right]$ with symmetry property (4.2). To this end, however, it is needed to represent the state vector (4.1) through some continuous variables which are related to the coordinates of spin CS model. Therefore, we consider polynomial functions like $\psi(z_1,z_2,\cdots,z_m;\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\cdots,\gamma_m)$, which depend on several continuous variables (z_i) as well as spin variables (γ_i) and satisfy the following symmetry condition:

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi(z_1,\cdots,z_i,\cdots,z_j,\cdots,z_m;\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_i,\cdots,\gamma_j,\cdots,\gamma_m)\\ &\qquad=\; e^{-i\Phi(\gamma_i,\gamma_{i+1},\cdots,\gamma_j)}\,\psi(z_1,\cdots,z_j,\cdots,z_i,\cdots,z_m;\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_j,\cdots,\gamma_i,\cdots,\gamma_m)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Such a polynomial would evidently generate a state vector (4.1) of $\mathcal{S}_m$ through the prescription:

$$\psi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m}_{n_1n_2\cdots n_m} \;=\; \psi\left(\omega^{n_1},\omega^{n_2},\cdots,\omega^{n_m};\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\cdots,\gamma_m\right)\,. \tag{4.12}$$

Next, we focus our attention only to those states of $\mathcal{S}_m$, which are generated through functions of the form

$$\psi(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_m) \;=\; \prod_{i=1}^{m} z_i \prod_{i<j} (z_i - z_j) \; F\left(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_m\right) \,, \tag{4.13}$$

where $F\left(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_m\right)$ is a polynomial with degree less than or equal to $(N - m - 1)$ in each variable z_i. Due to eqn.(4.11), $F\left(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_m\right)$ must satisfy the symmetry condition given by

$$\begin{aligned} &F(z_1, \cdots, z_i, \cdots, z_j, \cdots, z_m; \gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_i, \cdots, \gamma_j, \cdots, \gamma_m) \\ &\quad = -\, e^{-i\Phi(\gamma_i, \gamma_{i+1}, \cdots, \gamma_j)} \, F(z_1, \cdots, z_j, \cdots, z_i, \cdots, z_m; \gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_j, \cdots, \gamma_i, \cdots, \gamma_m) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Now we want to restrict the action of $p_m\left[\mathcal{H}_{HS}\right]$ (4.10) only on the above mentioned class of state vectors of $\mathcal{S}_m$. For this purpose, it is helpful to consider an identity given by

$$\left\{ \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^2 \,-\, N \left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \right\} \, F(z)|_{z=\omega^k} \;=\; 2 \sum_{n \neq k} \Big[F(\omega^n) \,-\, F(\omega^k) \Big] \, \theta'_{nk} \theta'_{kn} \,, \tag{4.15}$$

where $k,\, n \,\in\, [1, 2, \cdots, N]$ and $F(z)$ is an arbitrary polynomial of z with degree between 1 to $N-1$. By using this identity and assuming that the state vector $|\psi_m\rangle$ is generated through a polynomial of the form (4.13), one can express the operator $p_m\left[\mathcal{H}_{HS}\right]$ in eqn.(4.10) as

$$p_m\left[\mathcal{H}_{HS}\right] \;=\; \sum_{s=1}^{m} \left(\mathcal{D}_s + \frac{1+N}{2} \right) \left(\mathcal{D}_s + \frac{1-N}{2} \right) \,, \tag{4.16}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_s$, the Dunkl operators associated with dynamical spin CS model, are given by

$$\mathcal{D}_s \;=\; z_s \frac{\partial}{\partial z_s} \,+ \sum_{j>s} \theta_{sj} K_{sj} \,- \sum_{j<s} \theta_{js} K_{js} \,-\, \frac{N+1}{2} \,, \tag{4.17}$$

with $\theta_{sj} = \frac{z_s}{z_s - z_j}$. Thus it turns out that, the restricted action of $p_m\left[\mathcal{H}_{HS}\right]$ can interestingly be expressed through the Dunkl operators associated with dynamical spin CS model. Moreover, with the help of eqns.(4.16) and (4.13), it is easy to find that

$$\begin{aligned} &p_m\left[\mathcal{H}_{HS}\right] \, \psi(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_m) \\ &\qquad = \prod_{i=1}^{m} z_i \prod_{i<j} (z_i - z_j) \; \mathcal{H}_{HS}^{(m)} \, F\left(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_m\right) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{HS}^{(m)} \;=\; \sum_{s=1}^{m} \left(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_s + \frac{1+N}{2} \right) \left(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_s + \frac{1-N}{2} \right) , \tag{4.19}$$

and $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_s$s, the 'gauge transformed' Dunkl operators, are given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_s \;=\; z_s \frac{\partial}{\partial z_s} + \sum_{j>s} \theta_{sj} \, (1 - K_{sj}) \, - \sum_{j<s} \theta_{js} \, (1 - K_{js}) \, + \left(s - \frac{N+1}{2} \right) . \tag{4.20}$$

From the relations (4.19) and (4.18) it is evident that, by taking the known eigenfunctions of mutually commuting Dunkl operators (4.20) and projecting those eigenfunctions in a suitable way such that they would generate polynomials with symmetry property (4.14), we can construct a class of exact eigenfunctions for the operators $\mathcal{H}_{HS}^{(m)}$ and $p_m \left[\mathcal{H}_{HS} \right]$. However, at present, we are allowed to use only those eigenfunctions of Dunkl operators for which the degree of each variable z_i would be less than or equal to $(N - m - 1)$. Such eigenfunctions may be denoted by $\xi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_m}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m)$, where λ_is are integer valued quantum numbers satisfying the constraint: $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_m \leq N - m - 1$, and can be constructed by taking appropriate linear combination of the monomial $z^{\lambda_1} z^{\lambda_2} \cdots z^{\lambda_m}$ and other monomials of relatively lower order [11]. Moreover, at the special case $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1} = \cdots = \lambda_{i+k}$, the eigenfunction $\xi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_m}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m)$ becomes a symmetric polynomial of variables $z_i, z_{i+1}, \cdots, z_{i+k}$. The exact eigenvalues for all $\xi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_m}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m)$ are given through the relation [11]:

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_s \, \xi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_m}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m) \;=\; \left[\lambda_s + s - \frac{N+1}{2} \right] \xi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_m}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m) \, . \tag{4.21}$$

With the help of eqns.(4.19) and (4.21), it is easy to check that $\xi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots \lambda_m}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m)$ would be an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{H}_{HS}^{(m)}$ with eigenvalue given by

$$E_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_m} \;=\; \sum_{s=1}^{m} \epsilon_s \, (\, \epsilon_s - N \,) \, , \tag{4.22}$$

where $\epsilon_s = \lambda_s + s$. However, as mentioned earlier, it is necessary to construct the eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{H}_{HS}^{(m)}$ which would satisfy the symmetry property (4.14). For this purpose, we consider a 'generalised' antisymmetric projector $(\Lambda_-^{(m)})$ obeying the following relation:

$$\tilde{P}_{ij} K_{ij} \, \Lambda_-^{(m)} \;=\; - \, \Lambda_-^{(m)} \, , \tag{4.23}$$

where $i,\ j \in [1,2,\cdots,m]$. Such a projector may be explicitly written through 'transposition' operator τ_{ij} $(= \tilde{P}_{ij} K_{ij})$ as

$$\Lambda_-^{(m)} = \sum_p \sum_{\{i_k, j_k\}} (-1)^p \, \tau_{i_1 j_1} \tau_{i_2 j_2} \cdots \tau_{i_p j_p} \, , \tag{4.24}$$

where the series of transposition $\tau_{i_1 j_1} \tau_{i_2 j_2} \cdots \tau_{i_p j_p}$ represents an element of the permutation group (P_m) associated with m objects and, due to the summations on p and $\{i_k, j_k\}$, each element of P_m appears only once in the r.h.s. of the above equation. For example, $\Lambda_-^{(2)}$ and $\Lambda_-^{(3)}$ are given by: $\Lambda_-^{(2)} = 1 - \tau_{12}$, $\Lambda_-^{(3)} = 1 - \tau_{12} - \tau_{23} - \tau_{13} + \tau_{23}\tau_{12} + \tau_{12}\tau_{23}$. It is obvious that, at the limiting case $\tilde{P}_{ij} = P_{ij}$, $\Lambda_-^{(m)}$ (4.24) would reduce to the usual antisymmetriser which projects a wave function to the fermionic subspace. Next, we multiply $\xi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m)$ by any fixed 'down' spin configuration like $|\beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_m\rangle$ (with $\beta_i \in [2,3,\cdots,M]$) and subsequently apply the projector (4.24) for constructing a polynomial of the form

$$\begin{aligned} F\left(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_m\right) &= \\ &\langle \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_m | \, \Lambda_-^{(m)} \, \{ \xi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m) \, |\beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_m\rangle \, \} \, . \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Since $\Lambda_-^{(m)}$ commutes with $\mathcal{H}_{HS}^{(m)}$ and satisfies the relation (4.23), it is obvious that the polynomial $F\left(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_m\right)$ (4.25) would be an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{H}_{HS}^{(m)}$ with eigenvalue $E_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}$ (4.22) and also respect the crucial symmetry property (4.14). By inserting (4.25) to (4.13), we finally obtain a class of polynomial eigenfunctions for $p_m\left[\mathcal{H}_{HS}\right]$ (4.16) as

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_m) \\ &\quad = \prod_{i=1}^m z_i \prod_{i<j} (z_i - z_j) \, \langle \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_m | \, \Lambda_-^{(m)} \, \{ \xi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m} \, |\beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_m\rangle \, \} \, , \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

where $\xi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m} \equiv \xi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m)$. Now, by calculating the coefficients $\psi_{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_m}^{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_m}$ (with $1 \le n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_m \le N$) related to above polynomial (4.26) through the prescription (4.12), we can easily construct a class of m-magnon states which would be exact eigenfunctions of HS like spin chain (1.7) with eigenvalues given by $E_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}$ (4.22).

Since the projector $\Lambda_-^{(m)}$ (4.24) is explicitly dependent on 'anyon like' representation $\tilde{P}_{ij}$, it is clear that the presently derived eigenfunctions (4.26) would also depend on the discrete and continuous parameters which appear in $\tilde{P}_{ij}$ and corresponding Hamiltonian (1.7). However, it seems at the first sight that, the corresponding eigenvalues (4.22) of Hamiltonian (1.7) do not depend on any such discrete or continuous parameters, and exactly coincide with the eigenvalues [11,18] of original $SU(M)$ HS spin chain (1.1). To resolve this problem it may be observed that, for the case of $SU(M)$ HS spin chain (i.e., when $\tilde{P}_{ij} = P_{ij}$), $\Lambda_-^{(m)}$ (4.24) reduces to a projector which antisymmetrises the wave functions under simultaneous interchange of spin and coordinate degrees of freedom. Consequently, the projection $\Lambda_-^{(m)} \, \{ \, \xi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m} \, |\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_m\rangle \, \}$ will vanish (for all possible choice of corresponding $|\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_m\rangle$) if at least M number of consecutive λ_is take the same value. Thus the energy levels (4.22), corresponding to the above mentioned values of λ_is, would be absent from the spectrum of $SU(M)$ HS spin chain [18]. It is easy to check that, even for the case of a HS like spin chain, having multi-parameter deformed $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian symmetry, the term $\Lambda_-^{(m)} \, \{ \, \xi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m} \, |\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_m\rangle \, \}$ becomes trivial if at least M number of consecutive λ_is take the same value. So the spectrum of such HS like spin chain does not really depend on the related continuous parameters and coincides with the spectrum of $SU(M)$ HS spin chain. However it may interestingly happen that, for a suitable choice of discrete parameters in 'anyon like' representation $\tilde{P}_{ij}$ (1.4), the projection $\Lambda_-^{(m)} \, \{ \, \xi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m} \, |\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_m\rangle \, \}$ would give nontrivial result for all possible values of corresponding λ_is. So, in such cases, we will get some additional energy levels which are forbidden in the spectrum of $SU(M)$ HS spin chain. We shall shortly discuss a concrete example of HS like spin chain where some extra energy levels indeed appear due to the above mentioned reason.

Now it is natural to ask whether, by using the already found eigenfunctions (4.26) of Hamiltonian (1.7), it is possible to construct the related complete set of eigenstates. To answer this question it is useful to notice that, for the limiting case $\tilde{P}_{ij} = P_{ij}$, the eigenfunctions (4.26) would lead to all HW states of $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra, which appear in the reducible Hilbert space of $SU(M)$ HS spin chain (1.1) [11,18]. This fact inspires us to conjecture that, the eigenfunctions (4.26) would lead to all HW states of extended $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra, which appear in the reducible Hilbert space of HS like spin chain (1.7).

Due to this conjecture, the eigenvalues (4.22) should represent the full spectrum of HS like spin chain (1.7). Moreover, by applying appropriate descendent operators (associated with an extended $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra) on the HW states belonging to class (4.26), we should be able to find out all degenerate multiplates and complete set of eigenfunctions for the HS like spin chain. In the following, our aim is to explore these consequences of the above mentioned conjecture, by concentrating on a spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain with nonstandard $Y(gl_2)$ Yangian symmetry.

5 Spectrum of a HS like spin chain with nonstandard $Y(gl_2)$ Yangian symmetry

In the previous section, we have discussed a method for constructing some exact eigenfunctions of the HS like spin chain containing $(M-1)$ number of free discrete parameters and $(M-1)(M-2)/2$ number of free continuous parameters. So, for the simplest $M=2$ case (i.e., for spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain), this method could be used when the Hamiltonian (1.7) contains only one adjustable discrete parameter ϕ_{22} (all other parameters are fixed due to condition (4.5)). For the choice $\phi_{22}=0$, however, $\tilde{P}_{ij}$ (1.4) reproduces the standard representation of permutation algebra and leads to the original $SU(2)$ HS spin chain. On the other hand, for the choice $\phi_{22}=\pi$, the action of $\tilde{P}_{ij}$ (1.4) yields a nontrivial result which may be explicitly written as

$$\tilde{P}_{ij}|\cdots 1\,\alpha_{i+1}\cdots\alpha_{j-1}\,1\cdots\rangle \;=\; |\cdots 1\,\alpha_{i+1}\cdots\alpha_{j-1}\,1\cdots\rangle\,, \tag{5.1a}$$

$$\tilde{P}_{ij}|\cdots 2\,\alpha_{i+1}\cdots\alpha_{j-1}\,2\cdots\rangle \;=\; -\,|\cdots 2\,\alpha_{i+1}\cdots\alpha_{j-1}\,2\cdots\rangle\,, \tag{5.1b}$$

$$\tilde{P}_{ij}|\cdots 1\,\alpha_{i+1}\cdots\alpha_{j-1}\,2\cdots\rangle \;=\; (-1)^{n_{i+1,j-1}}\,|\cdots 2\,\alpha_{i+1}\cdots\alpha_{j-1}\,1\cdots\rangle\,, \tag{5.1c}$$

$$\tilde{P}_{ij}|\cdots 2\,\alpha_{i+1}\cdots\alpha_{j-1}\,1\cdots\rangle \;=\; (-1)^{n_{i+1,j-1}}\,|\cdots 2\,\alpha_{i+1}\cdots\alpha_{j-1}\,2\cdots\rangle\,, \tag{5.1d}$$

where $\alpha_i = 1$ (2) indicates the up (down) spin component and $n_{i+1,j-1}$ represents the total number of down spins which are present in the configuration $\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_{i+2}\cdots\alpha_{j-1}$. By inserting this 'anyon like' representation to eqn.(1.7), we may now obtain a concrete example of HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain. The Q matrix (2.7) associated with representation (5.1) is explicitly given by

$$Q_{ik} \;=\; X_i^{11} \otimes X_k^{11} \,-\, X_i^{22} \otimes X_k^{22} \,+\, X_i^{11} \otimes X_k^{22} \,+\, X_i^{22} \otimes X_k^{11} \, . \tag{5.2}$$

This Q matrix can be used to construct the nonlocal operators (2.9) as well as conserved quantities (2.12) for the above mentioned HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain. For example, when expressed through the familiar Pauli matrices ($\sigma_i^{\pm}$, σ_i^3), one such conserved quantity would be given by

$$\mathcal{T}_0^{21} \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{X}_i^{21} \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i^- \left\{ \prod_{k=i+1}^{N} (-1)^{\frac{1-\sigma_k^3}{2}} \right\} . \tag{5.3}$$

Furthermore, by inserting the Q matrix (5.2) to (3.4a), one can also find out the quantum R matrix which generates the symmetry algebra of HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain. It is evident that such symmetry algebra would be given by a nonstandard variant of $Y(gl_2)$ Yangian and may be obtained explicitly by plugging $\rho_{11} = 1$ and $\rho_{22} = -1$ in eqn.(3.22). Moreover, by using eqn.(3.22c) for the case $n = 0$, $\beta = \delta = 1$ and $\alpha = \gamma = 2$, it is easy to find that the conserved quantity (5.3), which may be regarded as a descendent operator of the above mentioned nonstandard $Y(gl_2)$ Yangian algebra, obeys the following simple relation: $\left(\mathcal{T}_0^{21}\right)^2 \;=\; 0$.

At present, our aim is to find out the full spectrum and complete set of eigenfunctions for the HS like chain associated with 'anyon like' representation (5.1). For this purpose, however, it is helpful to briefly review first the case of $SU(2)$ HS spin chain which contains the standard representation of permutation algebra. Since there exist only one type of 'down' spins for this case, we must take $|\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m\rangle \;=\; |\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_m\rangle \;=\; |22\cdots 2\rangle$ in the expression (4.26). By applying now the projector (4.24) on $\xi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}|22\cdots 2\rangle$, and using the fact that P_{ij} does not pick up any phase factor while interchanging two spin components, it is easy to find that

$$\Lambda_-^{(m)} \left\{ \xi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m) \, |22\cdots 2\rangle \right\} \;=\; |22\cdots 2\rangle \, \Sigma_-^{(m)} \left\{ \xi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m) \right\} , \tag{5.4}$$

where $\Sigma_-^{(m)}$ is obtained by simply substituting $\tau_{i_k j_k}$ with $K_{i_k j_k}$ in the r.h.s. of eqn.(4.24): $\Sigma_-^{(m)} \;=\; \sum_p \sum_{\{i_k, j_k\}} (-1)^p K_{i_1 j_1} K_{i_2 j_2} \cdots K_{i_p j_p}$. Thus, it is evident that $\Sigma_-^{(m)}$ satisfies the property: $K_{ij}\Sigma_-^{(m)} \; = \; -\,\Sigma_-^{(m)}$ and antisymmetrises the space part of any wave function. Consequently, the r.h.s. of eqns.(5.4) and (4.26) would vanish if one takes $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1}$ for any i. Therefore, the expression (4.22) gives the eigenvalues of $SU(2)$ HS spin chain, when λ_is satisfy the condition: $0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_m \leq N - m - 1$. As is well known, these eigenvalues for $SU(2)$ HS spin chain and corresponding HW states can be represented uniquely through motifs, which are made of binary digits like '0' and '1'. For the case of HS spin chain with N number of lattice sites, these binary digits form motifs of length $N-1$. Moreover, the positions of '1's in a particular motif would represent the values of corresponding ϵ_is. So the condition $\lambda_{i+1} > \lambda_i$ (i.e., $\epsilon_{i+1} > \epsilon_i + 1$) leads to a selection rule, which forbids the occurrence of two consecutive '1's in the motifs associated with $SU(2)$ HS spin chain [10,18].

Next, we turn our attention to the HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain associated with representation (5.1). Again, for this case, we must take $|\gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_m\rangle = |\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_m\rangle = |22\cdots 2\rangle$ in the expression (4.26). However, as evident from eqn.(5.1b), $\tilde{P}_{ij}$ picks up a multiplicative sign factor while interchanging two down spins sitting at i-th and j-th lattice sites. By using the expression (4.24) and taking care of the above mentioned sign factors, we easily find that

$$\Lambda_-^{(m)} \left\{ \xi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}(z_1,z_2,\cdots,z_m)\,|22\cdots 2\rangle \right\} \; = \; |22\cdots 2\rangle\, \Sigma_+^{(m)} \left\{ \xi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}(z_1,z_2,\cdots,z_m) \right\}, \tag{5.5}$$

where $\Sigma_+^{(m)} \; = \; \sum_p \sum_{\{i_k,j_k\}} K_{i_1j_1}K_{i_2j_2}\cdots K_{i_pj_p}$. Evidently, this $\Sigma_+^{(m)}$ satisfies the property: $K_{ij}\Sigma_+^{(m)} \; = \; \Sigma_+^{(m)}$ and symmetrises the space part of any wave function. Therefore, the r.h.s. of eqn.(5.5) would remain nontrivial for all possible choices of corresponding λ_is. Thus, the insertion of (5.5) to (4.26) would generate nontrivial polynomials of the form

$$\psi_{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_m}(z_1,\cdots,z_m;2,2,\cdots,2) \; = \; \prod_{i=1}^{m} z_i \prod_{i<j}(z_i - z_j)\; \Sigma_+^{(m)} \left\{ \xi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}(z_1,\cdots,z_m) \right\}, \tag{5.6}$$

where λ_is can take all values within the range $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_m \leq N - m - 1$. By using the prescription (4.12), one may now easily construct the m-magnon states (denoted by $|\psi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}\rangle$) corresponding to polynomials $\psi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots\lambda_m}(z_1,z_2,\cdots,z_m;2,2,\cdots,2)$ (5.6).

Such $|\psi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}\rangle$ would represent a class of exact eigenfunctions for the HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain with corresponding eigenvalues given by $E_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}$ (4.22). It is interesting to notice that, due to the absence of constraint which led to a 'selection rule' for the case of $SU(2)$ HS spin chain, some additional energy levels now appear in the spectrum of this HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain and the occurrence of any number of consecutive '1's is allowed in the corresponding motif picture. As a result, we may freely put '0's and '1's for constructing a motif of length $N-1$. Obviously, 2^{N-1} number of such distinct motifs (including the '$00\cdots0$' motif, which is related to the trivial $m=0$ sector) can appear in a system of N lattice sites. So, due to our conjecture in sec.4, the full spectrum of HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain would be generated by these 2^{N-1} number of motifs or energy levels. Moreover, the eigenfunctions $|\psi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}\rangle$ related to the polynomials (5.6) would represent the HW states of nonstandard $Y(gl_2)$ algebra. Consequently, by applying the descendent operator $\mathcal{T}_0^{21}$ (5.3) on these $|\psi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}\rangle$, one can construct the following eigenfunctions for the HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain:

$$|\psi'_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}\rangle \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i^- \left\{ \prod_{k=i+1}^{N} (-1)^{\frac{1-\sigma_k^3}{2}} \right\} |\psi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}\rangle \,. \tag{5.7}$$

Due to the relation $\left(\mathcal{T}_0^{21}\right)^2 = 0$, it is not possible to obtain any nontrivial eigenfunction by applying $\mathcal{T}_0^{21}$ on the state $|\psi'_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}\rangle$ (5.7). However, it is worth noting that the total number of eigenfunctions associated with eqns.(5.6) and (5.7) is 2^N, which is exactly same as the dimension of Hilbert space for a spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain. Therefore, eqns.(5.6) and (5.7) are in fact giving us complete set of eigenfunctions for the present HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain. Furthermore, the two degenerate eigenfunctions $|\psi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}\rangle$ and $|\psi'_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}\rangle$ would form a two-dimensional vector space carrying an irreducible representation of nonstandard $Y(gl_2)$ Yangian algebra. Thus we curiously observe that, every motif of HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain is associated with a two-dimensional irreducible representation of nonstandard $Y(gl_2)$ algebra. It is also clear from eqn.(4.22) that, the doubly degenerate ground state of this spin chain is represented by the '$11\cdots1$' motif with energy eigenvalue $E_{0,0,\cdots,0} = N(1-N^2)/6$.

Next, for the purpose of illustrating the above mentioned results through a simple example, we want to explicitly find out all eigenfunctions of HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain (1.7) when it contains only four lattice sites ($N = 4$). To this end, we need to consider the HW states with $m \in [0, 1, 2, 3]$, since only for these cases the variable $N - m - 1$ takes some nonnegative values. At $m = 0$ sector, however, $|\psi\rangle = |1111\rangle$ trivially gives an eigenfunction with zero eigenvalue and represents the HW state associated with '000' motif. At $m = 1$ sector, the quantum number λ_1 is permitted to take values within the range: $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 2$ and the corresponding eigenfunctions of Dunkl operators are given by: $\xi_0 = 1$, $\xi_1 = z_1$, $\xi_2 = z_1^2$. Insertion of such eigenfunctions to eqn.(5.6) leads to simple polynomials of the form

$$\psi_0\,(z_1; 2) \;=\; z_1\,, \quad \psi_1\,(z_1; 2) \;=\; z_1^2\,, \quad \psi_2\,(z_1; 2) \;=\; z_1^3\,. \tag{5.8}$$

By applying now the prescription (4.12) to the case of above polynomials, we can explicitly construct the HW eigenfunctions associated with '100', '010' and '001' motifs as

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_0\rangle &= i|2111\rangle - |1211\rangle - i|1121\rangle + |1112\rangle\,, \\ |\psi_1\rangle &= -\,|2111\rangle + |1211\rangle - |1121\rangle + |1112\rangle\,, \\ |\psi_2\rangle &= -\,i|2111\rangle - |1211\rangle + i|1121\rangle + |1112\rangle\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Moreover, with the help of eqn.(4.22), it is easy to find that $E_0 = -3$, $E_1 = -4$ and $E_2 = -3$ are the energy eigenvalues for the states $|\psi_0\rangle$, $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ respectively. Subsequently we consider the $m = 2$ sector, where the quantum numbers $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2$ are permitted to take all values within the range: $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 1$ and the corresponding eigenfunctions of Dunkl operators are given by: $\xi_{0,0} = \frac{1}{2}$, $\xi_{0,1} = \frac{1}{3}(z_1 + 2z_2)$ and $\xi_{1,1} = \frac{z_1 z_2}{2}$. Insertion of these eigenfunctions to eqn.(5.6) yields

$$\psi_{0,0}\,(z_1, z_2; 2, 2) \;=\; \mathcal{Z}\,, \quad \psi_{0,1}\,(z_1, z_2; 2, 2) \;=\; (z_1 + z_2)\,\mathcal{Z}\,, \quad \psi_{1,1}\,(z_1, z_2; 2, 2) \;=\; z_1 z_2\,\mathcal{Z}\,, \tag{5.10}$$

where $\mathcal{Z} = z_1 z_2 (z_1 - z_2)$. By applying again the prescription (4.12) to the above polynomials and also using eqn.(4.22), it is straightforward to construct the HW eigenfunctions associated with '110', '101' and '011' motifs as

$$|\psi_{0,0}\rangle \;=\; (1-i)\,\{|2211\rangle - |1122\rangle\} - (1+i)\,\{|2112\rangle + |1221\rangle\} + 2\,\{|1212\rangle + i|2121\rangle\}\,,$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\psi_{0,1}\rangle &= |2211\rangle + |1122\rangle - |2112\rangle + |1221\rangle, \\
|\psi_{1,1}\rangle &= (1+i)\left\{|1122\rangle - |2211\rangle\right\} + (1-i)\left\{|2112\rangle + |1221\rangle\right\} - 2\left\{|1212\rangle - i|2121\rangle\right\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.11a,b,c}
$$

and verify that the corresponding eigenvalues are given by $E_{0,0} = -7$, $E_{0,1} = -6$ and $E_{1,1} = -7$ respectively. Finally, one may consider the $m = 3$ sector, where $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$ represents the only possible choice of corresponding quantum numbers. By inserting the related trivial eigenfunction ($\xi_{0,0,0} = 1$) of Dunkl operators to eqn.(5.6), we get

$$
\psi_{0,0,0}\,(z_1, z_2, z_3; 2, 2, 2) \;=\; z_1 z_2 z_3\,(z_1 - z_2)(z_2 - z_3)(z_1 - z_3)\,. \tag{5.12}
$$

By applying again the prescription (4.12) to this case and using eqn.(4.22), it is easy to construct the HW eigenfunction associated with '111' motif as

$$
|\psi_{0,0,0}\rangle \;=\; |2221\rangle - |1222\rangle + |2122\rangle - |2212\rangle\,, \tag{5.13}
$$

and see that the corresponding eigenvalue is given by $E_{0,0,0} = -10$. From the above discussion one finds that a total number of eight HW states are available in $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$ sectors. So, by using eqn.(5.7), one can obtain the degenerate multiplates associated with these HW states as

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\psi'\rangle &= |2111\rangle + |1211\rangle + |1121\rangle + |1112\rangle\,, \\
|\psi'_0\rangle &= (1+i)\left\{|2211\rangle - |1122\rangle\right\} - (1-i)\left\{|2112\rangle + |1221\rangle\right\} - 2\left\{|1212\rangle - i|2121\rangle\right\}\,, \\
|\psi'_1\rangle &= |1221\rangle - |2211\rangle - |2112\rangle - |1122\rangle\,, \\
|\psi'_2\rangle &= (1-i)\left\{|2211\rangle - |1122\rangle\right\} - (1+i)\left\{|2112\rangle + |1221\rangle\right\} - 2\left\{|1212\rangle + i|2121\rangle\right\}\,, \\
|\psi'_{0,0}\rangle &= |2212\rangle - |1222\rangle + i\left\{|2122\rangle - |2221\rangle\right\}\,, \\
|\psi'_{0,1}\rangle &= |2212\rangle + |1222\rangle + |2122\rangle + |2221\rangle\,, \\
|\psi'_{1,1}\rangle &= |1222\rangle - |2212\rangle + i\left\{|2122\rangle - |2221\rangle\right\}\,, \quad |\psi'_{0,0,0}\rangle \;=\; |2222\rangle\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.14}
$$

Thus, we are able to explicitly derive here all eigenfunctions for the HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain containing four lattice sites. The wave functions $|\psi_{0,0,0}\rangle$ (5.13) and $|\psi'_{0,0,0}\rangle$ (5.14) evidently represent the doubly degenerate ground state for this spin chain.

It should be noted that, the spectrum of any HS like spin with nonstandard $Y(gl_M)$ (with $M > 2$) Yangian symmetry can also be obtained very easily by applying the same arguments which we have used for $M = 2$ case. The Hamiltonian of spin chain (1.7) with nonstandard $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian symmetry must contain at least one discrete parameter (say, $\phi_{\mu\mu}$) which takes the value π. Now, by choosing $|\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_m\rangle = |\mu\mu\cdots\mu\rangle$ in eqn.(4.26), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_m)\\ &\qquad = \delta_{\gamma_1\mu}\delta_{\gamma_2\mu}\cdots\delta_{\gamma_m\mu} \prod_{i=1}^m z_i \prod_{i<j} (z_i - z_j)\, \Sigma_+^{(m)} \left\{ \xi_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_m}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_m) \right\} . \qquad (5.15)\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Sigma_+^{(m)}$ symmetrises the coordinate part of a wave function, the polynomial eigenfunction (5.15) remains nontrivial for all λ_is within the range: $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_m \leq N - m - 1$. So, for all possible values of λ_is within the above mentioned range, eqn.(4.22) yields the energy eigenvalues of HS like spin chain with nonstandard $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian symmetry. Thus, we arrive at the interesting result that the spectrum of HS like spin chain with nonstandard $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian symmetry is same for all values of M. Therefore, the motifs corresponding to HS like spin chain with nonstandard $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian symmetry can also be constructed in exactly same way as they are constructed for spin chain with nonstandard $Y(gl_2)$ symmetry. However, for $M > 2$ case, the number of degenerate eigenfunctions associated with a motif or corresponding HW state could be much higher than that of the simplest $M = 2$ case.

Finally, we wish to establish a relation between some HS like spin chains belonging to class (1.7) and $SU(K|M-K)$ supersymmetric HS models [18]. For defining this $SU(K|M-K)$ supersymmetric HS model, one uses creation (annihilation) operators like $C_{i\alpha}^\dagger$ ($C_{i\alpha}$) which creates (annihilates) a particle of species α on the i-th site. Such creation (annihilation) operator would be bosonic if $\alpha \in [1, 2, \cdots, K]$ and fermionic if $\alpha \in [K+1, K+2, \cdots, M]$. Moreover, only those states in the related Fock space are allowed for which the total number of particles per site is always one:

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} C_{i\alpha}^\dagger C_{i\alpha} = 1 , \qquad (5.16)$$

for all i. With the help of above mentioned creation and annihilation operators, it is possible to obtain a realisation of permutation algebra (1.3) as

$$\hat{P}_{ij} \; = \; \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{M} C^{\dagger}_{i\alpha} C^{\dagger}_{j\beta} C_{i\beta} C_{j\alpha} \, . \tag{5.17}$$

The Hamiltonian of $SU(K|M-K)$ HS model [18] is obtained by simply substituting $\hat{P}_{ij}$ (5.17) to the place of P_{ij} in eqn.(1.1):

$$H_{HS}^{(K|M-K)} \; = \; \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{N}(i-j)} \left(\hat{P}_{ij} - 1 \right) \, . \tag{5.18}$$

It is well known that at the special case $K = M$, i.e. when all degrees of freedom are bosonic, the Hamiltonian (5.18) becomes equivalent with the SU(M) HS spin chain (1.1). At present, our goal is to find out the spin chain Hamiltonian which would become equivalent to the supersymmetric Hamiltonian (5.18) for any possible value of K. To this end we notice that, due to the constraint (5.16), the Hilbert space associated with Hamiltonian (5.18) can be spanned through the following orthonormal basis vectors: $C^{\dagger}_{1\alpha_1} C^{\dagger}_{2\alpha_2} \cdots C^{\dagger}_{N\alpha_N} |0\rangle$, where $|0\rangle$ is the ground state and $\alpha_i \in [1, 2, \cdots, M]$. So, it is possible to define a one-to-one mapping between the state vectors of above mentioned Hilbert space and those of the Hilbert space associated with HS like spin chain (1.7) as

$$|\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_N\rangle \; \leftrightarrow \; C^{\dagger}_{1\alpha_1} C^{\dagger}_{2\alpha_2} \cdots C^{\dagger}_{N\alpha_N} |0\rangle \, . \tag{5.19}$$

Now, by using eqns.(1.4) and (5.17), it can be shown that the relation given by

$$\langle \alpha'_1 \alpha'_2 \cdots \alpha'_N | \, \tilde{P}_{ij} \, | \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_N \rangle \; = \; \langle 0 | \, C_{N\alpha'_N} C_{N-1,\alpha'_{N-1}} \cdots C_{1\alpha'_1} \cdot \hat{P}_{ij} \cdot C^{\dagger}_{1\alpha_1} C^{\dagger}_{2\alpha_2} \cdots C^{\dagger}_{N\alpha_N} |0\rangle \, , \tag{5.20}$$

would be satisfied for all $|\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_N\rangle$ and $|\alpha'_1 \alpha'_2 \cdots \alpha'_N\rangle$, provided we fix the values of continuous and discrete parameters appearing in the phase factor (1.5) as: $\phi_{\alpha\alpha} = \phi_{\alpha\beta} = \pi$ if $\alpha, \; \beta \; \in \; [K+1, K+2, \cdots, M]$ and $\phi_{\alpha\alpha} = \phi_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ for all other values of α and β. Thus we interestingly observe that, for the above mentioned choice of continuous as well as discrete parameters, the HS like spin chain (1.7) becomes exactly equivalent to the supersymmetric HS model (5.18). Consequently, these two models would share the same spectrum and the energy eigenfunctions for these two models would also be related through the mapping (5.19). In particular, it may be checked that the $SU(1|1)$ supersymmetric HS model becomes equivalent to the HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain which we have considered in this section. Therefore, the known spectrum and eigenfunctions [18] for this $SU(1|1)$ supersymmetric HS model can easily be reproduced from the spectrum and eigenfunctions of HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain with nonstandard $Y(gl_2)$ symmetry. It is also worth observing that, for any value of $K \in [1, 2, \cdots, M-1]$, the $SU(K|M-K)$ supersymmetric HS model becomes equivalent to a HS like spin chain obeying nonstandard $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian symmetry. So, a class of exact eigenfunctions for such $SU(K|M-K)$ supersymmetric HS model can easily be constructed by using the polynomial eigenfunctions (5.15) and mapping (5.19). Moreover, the spectrum of this $SU(K|M-K)$ supersymmetric HS model would again be given by eqn.(4.22), when λ_is take all possible values within the range: $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_m \leq N-m-1$.

6 Concluding Remarks

Here we construct a class of integrable Haldane-Shastry (HS) like spin chains possessing extended (i.e., multi-parameter deformed or nonstandard) $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian symmetries. The nonlocal interactions of these spin chains are interestingly expressed through some 'anyon like' representations of permutation algebra, which pick up nontrivial phase factors while exchanging the spins of two lattice sites. Furthermore, the above mentioned phase factors depend on $M(M-1)/2$ number of continuously variable antisymmetric parameters ($\phi_{\gamma\sigma}$) and M number of discrete parameters ($\phi_{\sigma\sigma}$) which can be freely chosen as 1 or -1. We establish the integrability of these HS like spin chains, by finding out the associated Lax equations and conserved quantities. However, it turns out that such spin models also possess a few additional conserved quantities which are not apparently derivable from the Lax equations. These additional conserved quantities play a significant role in constructing the symmetry algebra of HS like spin chains through the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. It is found that, depending on the choice of related discrete parameters

($\phi_{\sigma\sigma}$), such a spin chain would respect either a multi-parameter deformed or a nonstandard variant of $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian symmetry.

Next we investigate whether these HS like spin chains can also be solved exactly in analogy with their standard counterpart. It is well known that, by antisymmetrising the eigenstates of Dunkl operators associated with spin Calogero-Sutherland model, one can construct a class of exact eigenfunctions for the $SU(M)$ HS spin chain [11]. By following a similar approach and projecting the eigenstates of Dunkl operators through some 'generalised' antisymmetric projectors, we are able to derive a class of exact eigenfunctions (4.26) and eigenvalues (4.22) for HS like Hamiltonian (1.7) when it contains $(M-1)$ number of free discrete parameters and $(M-1)(M-2)/2$ number of free continuous parameters (other parameters are fixed due to eqn.(4.5)). Subsequently, we conjecture that the exact eigenfunctions (4.26) would lead to all highest weight (HW) states of extended $Y(gl_M)$ algebra, which appear in the reducible Hilbert spaces of HS like spin chain. By using this conjecture, it is curiously found that the spectrum of a HS like spin chain is completely determined through the choice of related discrete parameters only. However, the corresponding eigenfunctions generally depend on both discrete as well as continuous parameters. So, the spectrum of a HS like spin chain, possessing multi-parameter deformed $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian symmetry, would coincide with the spectrum of usual $SU(M)$ HS spin chain. On the other hand, the spectrum of a HS like spin chain with nonstandard $Y(gl_M)$ symmetry would differ significantly from the spectrum of $SU(M)$ HS spin chain. To illustrate this point through a particular example, we derive the full spectrum and complete set of eigenfunctions for a HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain having nonstandard $Y(gl_2)$ Yangian symmetry. It turns out that some additional energy levels, which are forbidden due to a selection rule in the case of $SU(2)$ HS model, interestingly appear in the spectrum of the above mentioned HS like spin chain.

We also find out a remarkable equivalence relation between some HS like spin chains with nonstandard $Y(gl_M)$ symmetry and supersymmetric $SU(K|M-K)$ HS models. This relation enables us to derive the full spectrum and a class of exact eigenfunctions for supersymmetric HS models. In particular, the equivalence between HS like spin-$\frac{1}{2}$

chain with nonstandard $Y(gl_2)$ Yangian symmetry and $SU(1|1)$ HS model leads to an elegant way of constructing the spectrum and eigenfunctions for the latter case. We may hope that, the equivalence between HS like spin chains with nonstandard $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian symmetry and supersymmetric HS models would also help to uncover a deep connection between nonstandard and supersymmetric Yangian algebras. In fact it is already known that, by applying the general algebraic principle of 'transmutation' [36], it is possible to establish a connection between a nonstandard version of $U_q gl(2)$ quantum group and supersymmetric $U_q gl(1|1)$ quantum group [37]. So it is natural to expect that a similar connection might be established between some nonstandard variants of $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian algebra and supersymmetric $Y(gl_{K|M-K})$ Yangian algebra. The representations of these two type of Yangian algebras may also be linked to each other and may further be used for classifying the degenerate multiplates of corresponding spin chains. Moreover, it might be interesting to investigate various dynamical correlation functions and thermodynamic quantities of such HS like spin chains in connection with fractional statistics. Finally, we hope that it would be possible to find out many other quantum integrable spin chains and dynamical models, which would exhibit the extended $Y(gl_M)$ Yangian symmetries.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Prof. Miki Wadati for many illuminating discussions and critical reading of the manuscript. I like to thank Drs. K. Hikami, H. Ujino and M. Shiroishi for many fruitful discussions. This work is supported by a fellowship (JSPS-P97047) of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References

1. F. Calogero, J. Math. Phys. 10 (1969) 2191.
2. B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. A 5 (1972) 1372.
3. F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60 (1988) 635.
4. B.S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60 (1988) 639.
5. M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, Phys. Rep. 94 (1983) 313.
6. A.P. Polychronakos, Nucl. Phys. B 419 (1994) 553.
7. H. Frahm, J. Phys. A26 (1993) L473.
8. H. Ujino, K. Hikami and M. Wadati, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 61 (1992) 3425.
9. K. Hikami and M. Wadati, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 62 (1993) 4203; Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 1191.
10. F.D.M. Haldane, Z.N.C. Ha, J.C. Talstra, D. Bernerd and V. Pasquier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 2021.
11. D. Bernard, M. Gaudin, F.D.M. Haldane and V. Pasquier, J. Phys. A 26 (1993) 5219.
12. Z.N.C. Ha, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 1574; Nucl. Phys. B 435 [FS] (1995) 604.
13. M.V.N. Murthy and R. Shankar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 3331.
14. F. Lesage, V. Pasquier and D. Serban, Nucl. Phys. B 435 [FS] (1995) 585.
15. Z.N.C. Ha and F.D.M. Haldane, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 37 (1992) 646.
16. N. Kawakami, Phys. Rev. B46 (1992) 1005.
17. B. Sutherland and B.S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 5.

18. F.D.M. Haldane, in Proc. 16th Taniguchi Symp., Kashikijima, Japan, (1993) eds. A. Okiji and N. Kawakami (Springer, Berlin, 1994).

19. T. Fukui and N. Kawakami, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 4242.

20. B.D. Simons, P.A. Lee and B.L. Altshuler, Nucl. Phys. B 409 (1993) 487.

21. M. Stone and M. Fisher, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 8 (1994) 2539.

22. H. Ujino and M. Wadati, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64 (1995) 39.

23. H. Azuma and S. Iso, Phys Lett. B 331 (1994) 107.

24. B. Basu-Mallick, Nucl. Phys. B 482 [FS] (1996) 713.

25. B. Basu-Mallick and A. Kundu, Nucl. Phys. B 509 [FS] (1998) 705.

26. C.P. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 586;
B. Sutherland, C.N. Yang, C.P. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 588.

27. L.H. Gwa and H. Spohn, Phys. Rev. A 46 (1992) 844;
G. Albertini, S.R. Dahmen and B. Wehefritz, Nucl. Phys. B 493 (1997) 541.

28. J.H.H. Perk and C.L. Schultz, *in* Yang-Baxter equation in integrable systems, ed. M. Jimbo, Advanced Series in Math. Phys., Vol.10 (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990), p.326.

29. F.C. Alcaraz and W.F. Wreszinski, J. Stat. Phys. 58 (1990) 45.

30. V.G. Drinfeld, *Quantum Groups* , in ICM Proc. (Berkeley, 1987) p. 798.

31. V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A Guide to Quantum Groups* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994).

32. B. Basu-Mallick and P. Ramadevi, Phys. Lett. A 211 (1996) 339.

33. A. Stolin and P.P. Kulish, *New rational solution of Yang-Baxter equation and deformed Yangians* , TRITA-MAT-1996-JU-11, q-alg/9608011.

34. B. Basu-Mallick, P. Ramadevi and R. Jagannathan, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. A 12 (1997) 945.

35. A. Kundu, *Exactly integrable family of generalised Hubbard models with twisted Yangian symmetry*, cond-mat/9710033.

36. S. Majid, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 113 (1993) 45.

37. S. Majid and M.J. Rodriguez-Plaza, J. Math. Phys. 36 (1995) 7081.